



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/654,788	09/04/2003	Paul N. Hardy	VACBAG-590	5499
759	00 10/04/2004		EXAMINER	
Christopher John Rudy			MEREK, JOSEPH C	
Ste. 8				
209 Huron Ave.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Port Huron, MI 48060			3727	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/654,788	HARDY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph C. Merek	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. t 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) diod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frostute, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	4 September 2003.					
	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	·—					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 and 17-20 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-3, and 5-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	e withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the property application from the International Bur  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applica riority documents have been recei eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summa					
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/4/03</u>.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail  5) Notice of Informal  6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-16, drawn to a vacuum bag and box, classified in class 220, subclass 495.01
- II. Claims 17-20, drawn to a method of lining a container, classified in class29, subclass 428.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product can be used in a materially and different product of using. The bag can be used without the box and the box can be used without the bag.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Group A, Fig. 5;

Group B, Figs. 12 and 13.

Application/Control Number: 10/654,788

Art Unit: 3727

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 and 11 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

During a telephone conversation with Christopher John Rudy on 9/28/04 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group 1, A, claims1-3 and 5-16. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to

Application/Control Number: 10/654,788

Art Unit: 3727

this Office action. Claims 4 and 17-20 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim1-3 and 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Takashima (US 6,061,957). Regarding claims 1-3 and 5-10, see Fig. 10 and the abstract of the invention where the claimed structure is shown. The bag is deployable since it is inflatable. The deployable does not require any structure that is not in the reference.

Claims 1-3 and 5-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Frey et al (US 4,801,213). Regarding claims 1-3 and 5-16, see Fig. 1 where the bag and the vacuum box is shown. The bag is deployable and the vacuum box limitation does not require any structure that is not in the reference. The bag is deployable since

it is inflatable. The ribs extend along the bottom in both directions. The ribs have a component that extend both width wise and length wise. The ribs also cover the short wall and the long walls.

Claims 1-3 and 5-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Plone (US 5,345,622). Regarding claims 1-3 and 5-16, see Figs. 1-4 where the bag and the vacuum box is shown. The bag is deployable and the vacuum box limitation does not require any structure that is not in the reference. The bag is deployable since it is inflatable. The ribs on the bottom extend as shown in Fig. 1 and 4 in both width and length wise direction. The ribs also cover the long and short walls.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Tryer et al, Farison et al, Fisher, Navarra, and Malone et al are all cited for teaching deployable or inflatable structures.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph C. Merek whose telephone number is (703) 305-0644. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee Young can be reached on (703) 308-2572. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/654,788

Art Unit: 3727

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jøseph C. Merek Patent Examiner September 30, 2004